
From: Sukys, Raymond (FTA)
To: Luu, Catherine (FTA); Mantych, Timothy; Nguyen, Kim (FTA); Nutakor, Chris (FTA); Tsiforas, William; Tahir, Nadeem (FTA)
CC: Matley, Ted (FTA)
Sent: 2/24/2010 6:54:34 PM
Subject: RE: DRAFT PMOC Monthly Report for Honolulu Project - February 2010

Hi Cathy,

The report notes the uncertainty over the NEPA schedule and really I can't report any progress. Today, the FAA mentioned that the mitigation costs at the airport are over \$100M. We expect the FAA's report soon but they are proceeding carefully because the City has indicated that they will challenge their findings. Unfortunately, the DEIS did not analyze any noise impacts for the areas west of the airport since flights (70 to 80) will be diverted during construction over the residential area. The FAA is concerned that there could be permanent noise impacts as well. New noise impacts are likely to cause supplemental NEPA work if this alignment is maintained. Since we are not close to issuing an FEIS, I suggest that the report issue a strongly worded cautionary note about delaying the award of contracts especially since the Kiewit burden/claim is growing.

The maintenance facility is scheduled for award in March and vehicles in June with no NTPs before a ROD. Why not drag out the process some and not award until the NEPA schedule is a bit more certain?

A very small point - I noticed while I was reading that at times the report seems to meld City claims as if they were facts such as in the Kiewit section. I think it would be fine to say that the PMOC has not fully confirmed certain claims or statements by the City.

Ray

-----Original Message-----

From: Luu, Catherine (FTA)
Sent: Fri 2/19/2010 6:17 PM
To: Mantych, Timothy; Nguyen, Kim (FTA); Nutakor, Chris (FTA); Sukys, Raymond (FTA); Tsiforas, William; Tahir, Nadeem (FTA)
Subject: RE: DRAFT PMOC Monthly Report for Honolulu Project - February 2010

To All:

Please let me & the PMOC know if you have any comments. The PMOC highlighted the sections in yellow and pink to note changes from the previous monthly report.

Ray and Ted,

Could you please review page 7 which talks about the NEPA stuff and Section 1.2. second bullet

Tim,

Below provides my comments:

General:

Section 1.6 - Issues and concerns:

I think you should include the following:

AR00116146

First bullet: Concerns- some activities stated in the scope of NTP #1 & #1 A may not be allowable prior to completion of NEPA process or are inconsistent with the applicable FTA guidance on DB contract (Federal register, Volume 72, no. 12 page 2583). The City needs to clarify some of the scope/activities listed in the NTP #1 & #1A to FTA... NOTE: SINCE I DID NOT ATTEND THE CONFERENCE CALL ON FEB 16, YOU CAN ADD MORE ON THIS BULLET

Second bullet: you stated that the City has elected to begin procurement for 3 additional DB contracts.. It is possible that issuance of initial NTPs may be necessary prior to receipt of a ROD. Given the unknown date of obtaining the ROD, do you think that the city plan of issuing initial NTPs with the DB contracts will ,again, lead the City to face challenges/issues of ensuring that work under the DB contracts will be managed accordingly with NEPA requirements, applicable FR notice.?

7th bullet: concern on the MSF site (Navy Drum site)- does the City have any plan to remediate (e.g. sampling, remediation, waste disposal, cap..) the site as necessary, if during the earthwork the contaminated materials may be discovered? Any cost and schedule impacts due to potential encountering contaminated materials during earthwork?

Last bullet (8th bullet). Yes, I think you should include this bullet in the report.

Additional bullets that can be added to section 1.6 issues/concerns:

- I don't see you mention about the FAA-airport issue. You should include a bullet on the airport issue that has not been resolved and the NEPA process is pending on the resolution of issues at the airport. (the airport issue is listed under the project status but should also be listed under issues/concerns and should be expanded more)
- Any issues/concerns of having multiple DB contracts with aggressive schedules given the "unknown" date of obtaining the ROD at this time?
- Any concerns/issues on property acquisition?
- Any issues of filling new city staff positions? e.g. does the additional staff have capability of managing the project or need extensive time to be trained/?
- Any concerns of "unknown" scope that may impact the overall project cost? (e.g. relocate airport runway if the est. cost has not been included in the contingency)?
- Any issues/concerns regarding overall scope, cost, and schedule that need to be included or none is identified at this monthly report.

Specific comments:

Page 6 yellow highlighted text.

You listed several bullets/positions. So I assume that all the bullets/positions has been filled already. If yes, then clearly say so. Question: per the appendix B, the PMSC agreement is for 5 years subject to the availability of funds. Then why on page 6, some of the positions has been filled by the PMSC. Should these positions be city positions?

Page 7, pink section, second bullets (I will ask Ray and Ted to review this pink section). I think that you should include the 3 proposed alternatives that the City has considered to address the airport issues and you (PMOC). And, the FAA will provide you its review on your

assessment on the 3 cost alternatives..

Thanks

Cathy

From: Mantych, Timothy [mailto:Timothy.Mantych@jacobs.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 18, 2010 1:33 PM
To: Luu, Catherine (FTA); Nguyen, Kim (FTA); Nutakor, Chris (FTA)
Cc: Tahir, Nadeem (FTA); Tsiforas, William
Subject: DRAFT PMOC Monthly Report for Honolulu Project - February 2010

Cathy - Attached for your review is the DRAFT PMOC Monthly Report for the Honolulu Project for February 2010. I have highlighted in yellow any significant changes from the January 2010 report for your ease of identification. I have also included a few questions for you using the "insert comment" tool.

(Chris - I included you on the distribution at the request of Kim while she is on leave.)

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Timothy L. Mantych, P.E. (MO, IL)

Jacobs

FTA PMOC Program Manager

501 North Broadway
St. Louis, MO 63102
Phone: 314.335.4454
Mobile: 314.614.1386
tim.mantych@jacobs.com <mailto:tim.mantych@jacobs.com>

NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.

AR00116148